▲ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial	FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	DISTRICT OF NEBRASK
	BRASKAMAY 18 2005
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
V. ORDER OF DETENTION	DIOPPINEIDIGTHEIGHERK
DOUGLAS A. RYDBERG Case Number: 4:01CR72	<u> </u>
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	that the following facts require the
Part I—Findings of Fact	
<ul> <li>☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).</li> <li>☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.</li> <li>☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in</li> </ul>	of a  federal offense  state ion had existed that is
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal of	ffenses described in 18 U.S.C.
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.  (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for the offense described in finding (1).  (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the for the offense described in finding (1).  (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of consisting of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this consisting of (an) other person(s) and the community.	defendant from imprisonment onditions will reasonably assure the
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense	
for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	n of conditions will reasonably assure
Alternative Findings (B)	
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and condense of the evidence that  We to the property of the statement of Reasons for Detention  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and condense of the evidence that  We to the property of the statement of Reasons for Detention  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and condense of the evidence that  We to the property of the statement of Reasons for Detention  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and condense of the evidence that  We to the property of the evidence that the evid	onvincing evidence A a prepon-
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confine to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense coursel. On order of a court of the United States Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States man in connection with a court proceeding.  Signature of Judicial Office	The defendant shall be afforded a s or on request of an attorney for the shall for the purpose of an appearance
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistra	te Judge

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

Name and Title of Judicial Officer